

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/639,273	08/15/2000	Michael A. Innis	991.001	1822
27476 7	590 03/05/2004		EXAMINER	
Chiron Corporation Intellectual Property - R440			ROMEO. DAVID S	
P.O. Box 8097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Emeryville, CA 94662-8097		1647		

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Aution 0	09/639,273	INNIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David S Romeo	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON total cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	November 2003.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 12-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s Examiner. Note the attached	i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportly documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/07/2003 has been entered.

Claims 7, 12-14 are pending and being examined.

10

15

20

5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 7, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nordfang (v12). The grounds of this rejection are of record. See the Office action mailed 10/21/2002 at pages 3-4. In addition, the examiner relies upon Pedersen (U) and Wun (V). Accordingly, claims 7, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nordfang (v12), as evidenced by Pedersen (U) and Wun (V).

Norfdang indicates that the recombinant TFPI was purified using the method of Pedersen (U). See Norfdang, page 10371, right column, full paragraph 1. Pedersen (U) teaches that the recombinant TFPI was purified to homogeneity. See Pedersen (U), page 16786, paragraph bridging left and right columns. The fact that the recombinant TFPI was purified to homogeneity indicates that it is "free of mammalian proteins." Pedersen (U) references Wun (V) for the cDNA and amino acid sequence of TFPI. See Pedersen (U), paragraph bridging pages 16786-16787. The N-terminal amino acid sequence of

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

Pedersen's TFPI is SEQ ID NO: 7, as evidenced by Wun (V). See Wun (V), Abstract and Figure 2.

New Formal Matters, Objections, and/or Rejections:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 7, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Petersen (u7). The grounds of this rejection are of record in the Office action mailed 03/27/2002 at page 3. In addition, the examiner relies upon Wun (V). Accordingly, claims 7, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Petersen (u7), as evidenced by Wun (V).

The fact that Petersen's TFPI was produced in yeast indicates that it is "free of mammalian proteins."

The phrase "has an inhibitory concentration of at least 1 μg/ml" is ambiguous because it is unclear if the inhibitory concentration is less than or equal to 1 μg/ml, if the inhibitory concentration is greater than or equal to 1 μg/ml, or if some inhibition is present at 1 μg/ml with inhibition occurring at concentrations above and below 1 μg/ml. Petersen indicates that the TFPI from yeast showed 5-8 times lower anti-coagulant activity than intact TFPI from transfected BHK cells (page 13350, left column, last full paragraph). Petersen also indicates that with full-length TFPI from transfected BHK cells a concentration of only 0.5 μg/ml was required for 90% inhibition of anti-coagulant activity (page 13349, left column, full paragraph 2). This indicates that the TFPI from yeast has an inhibitory concentration that is greater than or equal to 1 μg/ml or that some inhibition would be present at 1 μg/ml.

Art Unit: 1647

5

15

25

Petersen also indicates that the TFPI from yeast transformants seemed to be full-length (page 13350, left column, full paragraph 3). Peterson references Wun (V) for the cDNA and amino acid sequence of TFPI. See Petersen, page 13345, right column, full paragraph 4. The N-terminal amino acid sequence of Petersen's TFPI from yeast transformants is SEQ ID NO: 7, as evidenced by Wun (V). See Wun (V), Abstract and Figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petersen (u7), as evidenced by Wun (V), in view of Cousens (A).

The teachings of Petersen are of record in the Office action mailed 03/27/2002 at page 3.

The fact that Petersen's TFPI was produced in yeast indicates that it is "free of mammalian proteins."

Petersen also teaches that irrespective of promoter or signal, only very low levels of TFPI activity were measure extracellularly with E18 transformants. The YNG452 yeast strain showed a somewhat better ability to secrete active TFPI. However, expression was still fairly low. Page 13345, right column, full paragraph 5. The yeast expression plasmids were designed to produce TFPI with the correct N-terminal

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

sequence, as indicated in Figure 1. Peterson references Wun (V) for the cDNA and amino acid sequence of TFPI. See Petersen, page 13345, right column, full paragraph 4. The N-terminal amino acid sequence of Petersen's TFPI from yeast transformants is SEQ ID NO: 7, as evidenced by Wun. See Wun (V), Abstract and Figure 2.

Petersen does not disclose the production of TFPI in high yield.

Cousens discloses that in many situations, for reasons which have not been resolved, heterologous products, despite active promoters and high copy number plasmids, are produced in only minor amount, if at all, in a microorganism host (column 1, full paragraph 2). Cousens provides methods and compositions for producing heterologous polypeptides in high yield in a eukaryotic or prokaryotic microorganism host, whereby a completely heterologous fused, product is expressed, one part of the peptide being a product shown to be expressed independently in high yield in such host and the remaining part of the product being a polypeptide of interest, resulting in production of the fused product in high yield. Sequences coding for the two polypeptides are fused in open reading frame, where the high yield polypeptide encoding sequence may be at either the 5'- or 3'-terminus. The two polypeptides contained in the expression product may be joined by a selectively cleavable link, so that the two polypeptides may be separated to provide for high yield of each of the polypeptides. Alternatively, the cleavage site may be absent if cleavage of the fused protein is not required for its intended use. Particularly, a yeast host is employed where the high yield polypeptide is superoxide dismutase (SOD). Paragraph bridging columns 1-2. The two polypeptides are a stabilizing polypeptide and a polypeptide of interest (paragraph bridging columns 2-3; column 3, full paragraph 5). To cleave the two polypeptides peptidases can be

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

employed which are specific for particular sequences of amino acids, such as those peptidases which are involved in the selective cleavage of secretory leader signals from a polypeptide. These enzymes are specific for such sequences which are found with α -factor and killer toxin in yeast, such as KEX 2 endopeptidase with specificity for pairs of basic residues (paragraph bridging columns 3-4).

Cousens does not teach the production of TFPI in yeast in the sense that Cousens does not anticipate the present claims.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicants' invention to produce TFPI in yeast in low yield, as taught by Petersen, and to modify that teaching, by producing TFPI in yeast in high yield, as taught by Cousens, with a reasonable expectation of success. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make this modification in order to produce TFPI in high yield.

Where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical in structure or composition, or are produced by identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established.

The invention is prima facie obvious over the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 7, 12-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "has an inhibitory concentration of at least 1 μ g/ml" is ambiguous because it is unclear if the inhibitory concentration is less than or equal to 1 μ g/ml, if the

Art Unit: 1647

inhibitory concentration is greater than or equal to 1 µg/ml, or if some inhibition is present at 1 µg/ml with inhibition occurring at concentrations above and below 1 µg/ml. The metes and bounds are not clearly set forth.

5

10

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (571) 272-0890. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M. IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE REACHED ON (571) 272-0887.

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE FOLLOWING TC 1600 BEFORE AND AFTER FINAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS:

BEFORE FINAL (703) 872-9306

AFTER FINAL (703) 872-9307 CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (571) 273-0890. ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

20

15

25

DAVID ROMEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1647

DSR MARCH 4, 2004